## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE NASHVILLE DIVISION

PARKER POE,	)	
Plaintiff,	)	Civil Action No. 3:24-cv-368
	)	Judge Crenshaw/Frensley
v.	)	Jury Demand
	)	·
VANDERBILT UNIVERSITY, ET AL.	)	
Defendants.	)	

## <u>ORDER</u>

On November 26, 2024, the Parties filed a Joint Case Resolution Status Report (Docket No. 102) pursuant to the requirements of the initial case management order (Docket No. 89). The report reflects that the Plaintiff communicated a settlement demand to Defendants which the Defendants rejected. Docket No. 102. The report further stated that the Defendants declined to make a counteroffer. *Id.* As explained in detail at the initial case management conference and set forth in the initial case management order, Judge Crenshaw expects the Parties will make a substantive attempt to resolve the case. Those efforts require that the Parties exchange offer and demand and create a plan to facilitate successful settlement negotiations. Defendants refusal to make a counteroffer does not comply with Judge Crenshaw's requirements and therefore is not acceptable.

By **December 13, 2024**, the Parties shall file a revised status report reflecting that the Defendants have made a counter offer as required by Judge Crenshaw.

IT SO ORDERED.

JEFFÉRY S. FRENSLEY
United States Magistrate Judge